

## Abstract Judging Criteria *(Case report)*

- Introduction:** Was the problem stated clearly?
- Patient Description:** Did the authors provide sufficient background information to describe the patient?
- Results/Intervention and Conclusions:** Were the results, intervention and conclusions accurately and concisely presented?
- Originality:** Did the authors demonstrate the unique nature or contribution of the report?

### RATING SCALE

- (5) Excellent** Excellent in every way. Holy cow, what an unbelievably amazing abstract! Yow!
- (4) Very Good** Above average. Really nice job by the authors, with no tweaking required.
- (3) Good** Satisfactory or average abstract. Acceptable as is, with perhaps some tweaking to address some minor points. Most abstracts will fall in this category.
- (2) Marginal** Below average abstract. This would need considerable additional work to correct deficiencies.
- (1) Poor** Well below average abstract. This would require a complete rewrite, preferably with editorial assistance.